Lorain County Common Pleas Court by Fax dated

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LORAIN COUNTY, OHIO

Tom Orlando,

GIBSON BROS., INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-vs.-

Case No.: 17CV193761

Judge: Hon. John R. Miraldi

Magistrate: Hon. Joseph Bott

OBERLIN COLLEGE, et al.,

Defendants.

SUPPLEMENT TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PREJUDGMENT INTEREST

On August 14, 2019, Plaintiffs¹ respectfully moved this Court for prejudgment interest, an oral hearing on prejudgment interest, and limited expedited discovery related to prejudgment interest in accordance with R.C. 1343.03(C)(1). In an effort to avoid any delays, Plaintiffs have already prepared and served subpoenas and document requests to be answered by Defendants² and their counsel.3

Accordingly, Plaintiffs request this Court permit the limited discovery (See, Exs. 1-3) and order Defendants to respond to said discovery on or before September 4, 2019 to provide Plaintiffs sufficient time to present supplemental evidence related to prejudement interest and also to expedite the prejudgment hearing process.

¹ "Plaintiffs" refers to Gibson Bxos., Inc. ("Gibson's Bakery"), David Gibson ("Dave"), and Allyn W. Gibson

² "Defendants" refers to Defendant Oberlin College and Conservatory ("Oberlin College") and Defendant Meredith Raimondo ("Raimondo").

³ True and accurate copies of the subpocnas and document requests are attached hereto as Exhibits 1-3.

Respectfully submitted,

TZANGAS | PLAKAS | MANNOS | LTD

/s/ Brandon W. McHugh
Lee E. Plakas (0008628)
Brandon W. McHugh (0096348)
Jeananne M. Ayoub (0097838)
220 Market Avenue South
Eighth Floor
Canton, Ohio 44702
Telephone: (330) 455-6112
Facsimile: (330) 455-2108

Email: lplakas@lawlion.com bmchugh@lawlion.com jayoub@lawlion.com

-and-

KRUGLIAK, WILKINS, GRIFFITHS & DOUGHERTY CO., L.P.A.

-and-

JAMES N. TAYLOR CO., L.P.A.

James N. Taylor (0026181)
409 East Avenue, Suite A
Elyria, Ohio 44035
Telephone: (440) 323-5700
Email: taylor@jamestaylorlpa.com
Counsel for Plaintiffs

PROOF OF SERVICE

A copy of the foregoing was served on August 19, 2019, pursuant to Civ.R. 5(B)(2)(f) by sending it by electronic means to the email addresses identified below, to:

Ronald D. Holman, II Julie A. Crocker Cary M. Snyder William A. Doyle Josh M. Mandel

Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP 200 Public Square, Suite 3500 Cleveland, OH 44114-2302 rholman@taftlaw.com; jcrocker@taftlaw.com; csnyder@taftlaw.com;

wdoyle@taftlaw.com; jmandel@taftlaw.com Co-Counsel for Defendants

Oberlin College aka Oberlin College and Conservatory, and Meredith Raimondo Richard D. Panza
Matthew W. Nakon
Rachelle Kuznicki Zidar
Malorie A. Alverson
Wilbert V. Farrell, IV
Michael R. Nakon

Wickens, Herzer, Panza, Cook & Batista Co.

35765 Chester Road Avon, OH 44011-1262 RPanza@WickensLaw.com; MNakon@WickensLaw.com; RZidar@WickensLaw.com; MAlverson@WickensLaw.com; WFarrell@WickensLaw.com; MRNakon@WickensLaw.com

Co-Counsel for Defendants

Observing College also Observing College

Oberlin College aka Oberlin College and Conservatory, and Meredith Raimondo

/s/ Brandon W. McHugh Brandon W. McHugh

Counsel for Plaintiffs

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LORAIN COUNTY, OHIO



GIBSON BROS, INC., et al.	General Division - Civil Subpoena and Return
Plaintiff,	
VS.	Case No17CV193761
OBERLIN COLLEGE, etc., et al.	Case No.
Defendant.	Attorney: Brandon W. McHugh (0096348)
	Attorney for: Plaintiffs Telephone: (330) 455-6112
TO: (Print name and address below)	Telephone: (330) 455-6112
Taft Stettinius & Hollister, LLP	E-mail (optional): bmchugh@lawlion.com
200 Public Square, Suite 3500	
Cleveland, Ohio 44114	If you have any questions concerning this subpoena, please contact the attorney whose name and contact information are listed above.
Attn: Ronald D. Holman, II., Esq.	
produce and permit inspection and copying of the following custody, possession, or control; SEE EXHIBIT A ATTACHE	owing documents or electronically stored information that are in the stored information that in the stored information the stored information that are in the stored information that in the stored information that in the stored information the stored information that in the stored information the stored information that in the stored information the stored informat
produce and permit inspection and copying, testing, or custody, possession, or control:	r sampling of the following tangible things that are in your
permit entry upon designated land or other property th	at is in your possession or control described as (see Civ. R. 34)
	-
OCATION: 220 Market Avenue S., 8th Fl. Canton	, Ohlo 44702 or electronically to bmchugh@lawlion.com.
ITNESS my hand, this & day of August, 2019.	-

OHIO RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE - PARTIAL TEXT OF RULE 45 (revised 07/17/2014)

C) Protection of persons subject to subpoenss.

- (1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena.
- (2)(a) A person commanded to produce under divisions (A)(1)(b), (ili), (iv), (v), or (vi) of this rule need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to attend and give testimony at a deposition, hearing, or trial
- (b) Subject to division (D)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce under divisions (A)(1)(b), (iii), (iv), (v), or (vi) of this rule may, within fourteen days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than fourteen days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objections to production. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to production except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, may move at any time for an order to compel the production. An order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the production commanded.
- (3) On timely motion, the court from which the subpoena was; issued shall quash or modify the subpoena, or order appearance or production only under specified conditions, if the subpoena does any of the following:
- (a) Fails to allow reasonable time to comply;
- (b) Requires disclosure of privileged or otherwise protected matter and no exception or walver applies;
- (c) Requires disclosure of a fact known or opinion held by an expert not retained or specially employed by any party in anticipation of litigation or preparation for trial as described by Civ. R. 26(B)(5), if the fact or opinion does not describe specific events or occurrences in dispute and results from study by that expert that was not made at the request of any party;
- (d) Subjects a person to undue burden.
- (4) Before filing a motion pursuant to division (C)(3)(d) of this rule, a person resisting discovery under this rule shall attempt to resolve any claim of undue burden through discussions with the issuing attorney. A motion filed pursuant to division (C)(3)(d) of this rule shall be supported by an affidavit of the subpoensed person or a certificate of that person's attorney of the efforts made to resolve any claim of undue burden.
- (5) If a motion is made under division (C)(3)(c) or (C)(3)(d) of this rule, the court shall quash or modify the subpoena unless the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated.

(D) Duties in responding to subpoena.

- (1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall, at the person's option, produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or organized and labeled to correspond with the categories in the subpoena. A person producing documents or electronically stored information pursuant to a subpoena for them shall permit their inspection and copying by all parties present at the time and place set in the subpoena for inspection and copying.
- (2) If a request does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena may produce the information in a form or forms in which the information is ordinarily maintained if that form is reasonably useable, or in any form that is reasonably useable. Unless ordered by the court or agreed to by the person subpoenaed, a person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (3) A person need not provide discovery of electronically stored information when the production imposes undue burden or expense. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person from whom electronically stored information is sought must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or expense. If a showing of undue burden or expense is made, the court may nonetheless order production of electronically stored information if the requesting party shows good cause. The court shall consider the factors in Civ. R. 26(B)(4) when determining if good cause exists. In ordering production of electronically stored information, the court may specify the format, extent, timing allocation of expenses and other conditions for the discovery of the electronically stored information.
- (4) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials under Civ. R. 26(B)(3) or (4), the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.
- (5) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and basis for it. After being notified, a receiving party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies within the party's possession, custody or control. A party may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LORAIN COUNTY, OHIO

GIBSON BROS., INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No.: 17CV193761

Judge: Hon, John R. Miraldi

-VS.-

Magistrate: Hon. Joseph Bott

OBERLIN COLLEGE, et al.,

Defendants.

EXHIBIT A

SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM PROPOUNDED UPON TAFT, STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER, LLP

INSTRUCTIONS AND DEFINITIONS

- 1. "You" means the recipient of this Subpoena and any individual, agent, or representative acting on your behalf.
- 2, "Oberlin College" means Oberlin College the corporation incorporated by special legislative act and located at 173 W. Lorain St., Oberlin, OH 44074, also known as and/or referred to as Oberlin College and Conservatory, and of its agents, representatives, employees, students, or any other person acting or purporting to act on behalf of Oberlin College.
- 3. "Document" and "writing" used interchangeably herein, each mean any tangible thing including, but not limited to, papers, tapes, diskettes, indexes, file folders, microfiche, microfilm, or electronic storage devices upon which there has been placed handwriting. typewriting, printing, photostatic or photographic images, any other kind of graphics, or any form of recording, communication or representation, including letters, words, pictures, sounds, symbols, or combinations thereof. Any request relating to a document or writing also includes any and all nonidentical copies thereof.

- 4. "Communication," in its singular and plural forms, means any oral or written exchange of words, thoughts, or ideas to any person or persons, whether it be person to person, in a group, by telephone, by letter, by telex, by radio transmission, or by any other process, electronic or otherwise. All such communications and writing shall include, without limitation, printed, types, handwritten or other readable documents, correspondence, memoranda, reports, log books, minutes, notes, audio tapes, and radio transmissions.
- 5. "Relating to" means constituting, defining, containing, embodying, reflecting, identifying, stating, concerning, referring to, dealing with, generated wholly or partly in response to or because of, or in any way pertaining to.
- 6. "Litigation" means the case filed in the Lorain County Court of Common Pleas, number 17CV193761, before Judge John R. Miraldi.
- 7. "Insurance provider" means any business, organization, corporation, or individual who provided any form of insurance, including, but not limited to, liability insurance or excess insurance, to Oberlin College and/or Defendant Meredith Raimondo from January 1, 2016 to the present.
- 8. "Taft" means the law firm Taft Stettinius & Hollister, LLP and its agents, representatives, employees, or any other person acting or purporting to act on behalf of Taft (including, but not limited to, Attorneys Ronald D. Holman II, Julie A. Crocker, Cary M. Snyder, William A. Doyle, and Josh M. Mandel).
- 9. "Wickens" means the law firm Wickens Herzer Panza and its agents, representatives, employees, or any other person acting or purporting to act on behalf of Wickens (including, but not limited to, Attorneys Richard D. Panza, Matthew W. Nakon, Malorie A. Alverson, Rachelle Kuznicki Zidar, Michael R. Nakon, and Wilbert V. Farrell IV).

DOCUMENT REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Any and all documents that refer or relate to evaluation of risk of loss or the settlement of this Litigation.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

All communications between you and any insurance provider, including, but not limited to, College Risk Retention Group, Lexington Insurance Company, StarStone Specialty Insurance Company, and United Educators, regarding the subject matter of this Litigation, including, but not limited to, communications that refer or relate to evaluation of the risk of loss or the settlement of this Litigation.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LORAIN COUNTY, OHIO



	General Division - CIVIL
GIBSON BROS, INC., et al.	Subpoena and Return
Plaintiff,	
VS.	Case No17CV193761
OBERLIN COLLEGE, etc., et al.	Case No.
Defendant.	Attorney: Brandon W. McHugh (0096348)
	Attorney for: Plaintiffs
TO: (Print name and address below)	Telephone: (330) 455-6112
Wickens Herzer Panza Co.	E-mail (optional): bmchugh@lawlion.com
35765 Chester Road	
Avon, Ohio 44011	If you have any questions concerning this subpoena, please contact the attorney whose name and contact
Attn: Matthew Nakon, Esq.	information are listed above.
your custody, possession, or control: SEE EXHIBIT A ATTACHE	owing documents or electronically stored information that are in HERETO r sampling of the following tangible things that are in your
custody, possession, or control:	
permit entry upon designated land or other property th	nat is in your possession or control described as (see Civ. R. 34)
	
	20 19 TIME: 10:00 AM o'clock a.m./p.m.
OCATION: 220 Market Avenue S., 8th Fl. Canton	, Ohlo 44702 or electronically to bmchugh@lawlion.com.
TNESS my hand, this & day of August, 2019. By Brands	on W. McHugh (Counsel for Plaintiffs)
\ /	

NOTE: READ ALL INFORMATION ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS SUBPOENA

OHIO RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE - PARTIAL TEXT OF RULE 45 (revised 07/17/2014)

C) Protection of persons subject to subpoenas.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoens.

(2)(a) A person commanded to produce under divisions (A)(1)(b), (iii), (iv), (v), or (vi) of this rule need not appear in person at the place of

production or inspection unless commanded to attend and give lestimony at a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(b) Subject to division (D)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce under divisions (A)(1)(b), (iii), (iv), (v), or (vi) of this rule may, within fourteen days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than fourteen days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objections to production. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoens shall not be entitled to production except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoens was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, may move at any time for an order to compel the production. An order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the production commanded.

(3) On timely motion, the court from which the subpoens was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena, or order appearance or production

only under specified conditions, if the subpoens does any of the following:

(a) Fails to allow reasonable time to comply;

(b) Requires disclosure of privileged or otherwise protected matter and no exception or waiver applies;

(c) Requires disclosure of a fact known or opinion held by an expert not retained or specially employed by any party in anticipation of litigation or preparation for trial as described by Civ. R. 26(B)(5), if the fact or opinion does not describe specific events or occurrences in dispute and results from study by that expert that was not made at the request of any party;

(d) Subjects a person to undue burden.

- (4) Before filing a motion pursuant to division (C)(3)(d) of this rule, a person resisting discovery under this rule shall attempt to resolve any claim of undue burden through discussions with the issuing attorney. A motion filed pursuant to division (C)(3)(d) of this rule shall be supported by an affidavit of the subpoenzed person or a certificate of that person's attorney of the efforts made to resolve any claim of undue burden.
- (5) If a motion is made under division (C)(3)(c) or (C)(3)(d) of this rule, the court shall quash or modify the subpoena unless the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated.

(D) Duties in responding to subpoena.

(1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall, at the person's option, produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or organized and labeled to correspond with the categories in the subpoena. A person producing documents or electronically stored information pursuant to a subpoena for them shall permit their inspection and copying by all parties present at the time and place set in the subpoens for inspection and copying.

(2) If a request does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena may produce the information in a form or forms in which the information is ordinarily maintained if that form is reasonably useable, or in any form that is reasonably useable. Unless ordered by the court or agreed to by the person subpoenaed, a person responding to a subpoena need not

produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

- (3) A person need not provide discovery of electronically stored information when the production imposes undue burden or expense. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person from whom electronically stored information is sought must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or expense. If a showing of undue burden or expense is made, the court may nonetheless order production of electronically stored information if the requesting party shows good cause. The court shall consider the factors in Civ. R. 26(B)(4) when determining if good cause exists. In ordering production of electronically stored information, the court may specify the format, extent, timing allocation of expenses and other conditions for the discovery of the electronically stored information.
- (4) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials under Civ. R. 26(B)(3) or (4), the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.
- (5) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and basis for it. After being notified, a receiving party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies within the party's possession, custody or control. A party may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LORAIN COUNTY, OHIO

GIBSON BROS., INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No.: 17CV193761

Judge: Hon. John R. Miraldi

-VS.-

Magistrate: Hon, Joseph Bott

OBERLIN COLLEGE, et al.,

Defendants.

EXHIBIT A

SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM PROPOUNDED UPON WICKENS HERZER PANZA

INSTRUCTIONS AND DEFINITIONS

- 1. "You" means the recipient of this Subpoena and any individual, agent, or representative acting on your behalf.
- 2. "Oberlin College" means Oberlin College the corporation incorporated by special legislative act and located at 173 W. Lorain St., Oberlin, OH 44074, also known as and/or referred to as Oberlin College and Conservatory, and of its agents, representatives, employees, students, or any other person acting or purporting to act on behalf of Oberlin College.
- 3. "Document" and "writing" used interchangeably herein, each mean any tangible thing including, but not limited to, papers, tapes, diskettes, indexes, file folders, microfiche, microfilm, or electronic storage devices upon which there has been placed handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostatic or photographic images, any other kind of graphics, or any form of recording, communication or representation, including letters, words, pictures, sounds, symbols, or combinations thereof. Any request relating to a document or writing also includes any and all nonidentical copies thereof.

- 4. "Communication," in its singular and plural forms, means any oral or written exchange of words, thoughts, or ideas to any person or persons, whether it be person to person, in a group, by telephone, by letter, by telex, by radio transmission, or by any other process, electronic or otherwise. All such communications and writing shall include, without limitation, printed, types, handwritten or other readable documents, correspondence, memoranda, reports, log books, minutes, notes, audio tapes, and radio transmissions.
- 5. "Relating to" means constituting, defining, containing, embodying, reflecting, identifying, stating, concerning, referring to, dealing with, generated wholly or partly in response to or because of, or in any way pertaining to.
- 6. "Litigation" means the case filed in the Lorain County Court of Common Pleas, number 17CV193761, before Judge John R. Miraldi.
- 7. "Insurance provider" means any business, organization, corporation, or individual who provided any form of insurance, including, but not limited to, liability insurance or excess insurance, to Oberlin College and/or Defendant Meredith Raimondo from January 1, 2016 to the present.
- 8. "Taft" means the law firm Taft Stettinius & Hollister, LLP and its agents, representatives, employees, or any other person acting or purporting to act on behalf of Taft (including, but not limited to, Attorneys Ronald D. Holman II, Julie A. Crocker, Cary M. Snyder, William A. Doyle, and Josh M. Mandel).
- 9. "Wickens" means the law firm Wickens Herzer Panza and its agents, representatives, employees, or any other person acting or purporting to act on behalf of Wickens (including, but not limited to, Attorneys Richard D. Panza, Matthew W. Nakon, Malorie A. Alverson, Rachelle Kuznicki Zidar, Michael R. Nakon, and Wilbert V. Farrell IV).

DOCUMENT REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Any and all documents that refer or relate to evaluation of risk of loss or the settlement of this Litigation.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

All communications between you and any insurance provider, including, but not limited to, College Risk Retention Group, Lexington Insurance Company, StarStone Specialty Insurance Company, and United Educators, regarding the subject matter of this Litigation, including, but not limited to, communications that refer or relate to evaluation of the risk of loss or the settlement of this Litigation.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LORAIN COUNTY, OHIO

GIBSON BROS., INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs.

Case No.: 17CV193761

Judge: Hon. John R. Miraldi

Magistrate: Hon. Joseph Bott

-vs.-

OBERLIN COLLEGE, et al.,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET OF POST-TRIAL REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS DIRECTED TO DEFENDANT OBERLIN COLLEGE

INSTRUCTIONS AND DEFINITIONS

- 1. "Oberlin College" means Oberlin College the corporation incorporated by special legislative act and located at 173 W. Lorain St., Oberlin, OH 44074, also known as and/or referred to as Oberlin College and Conservatory, and of its agents, representatives, employees, students, or any other person acting or purporting to act on behalf of Oberlin College.
- 2. "You" means Oberlin College.
- 3. "Document" and "writing" used interchangeably herein, each mean any tangible thing including, but not limited to, papers, tapes, diskettes, indexes, file folders, microfiche, microfilm, or electronic storage devices upon which there has been placed handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostatic or photographic images, any other kind of graphics, or any form of recording, communication or representation, including letters, words, pictures, sounds, symbols, or combinations thereof. Any request relating to a document or writing also includes any and all nonidentical copies thereof.
- 4. "Communication," in its singular and plural forms, means any oral or written exchange of words, thoughts, or ideas to any person or persons, whether it be person to person, in a group,



by telephone, by letter, by telex, by radio transmission, or by any other process, electronic or otherwise. All such communications and writing shall include, without limitation, printed, types, handwritten or other readable documents, correspondence, memoranda, reports, log books, minutes, notes, audio tapes, and radio transmissions.

- 5. "Relating to" means constituting, defining, containing, embodying, reflecting, identifying, stating, concerning, referring to, dealing with, generated wholly or partly in response to or because of, or in any way pertaining to.
- 6. "Litigation" means the case filed in the Lorain County Court of Common Pleas, number 17CV193761, before Judge John R. Miraldi.
- 7. "Insurance provider" means any business, organization, corporation, or individual who provided any form of insurance, including, but not limited to, liability insurance or excess insurance, to Oberlin College and/or Defendant Meredith Raimondo from January 1, 2016 to the present.
- 8. "Taft" means the law firm Taft Stettinius & Hollister, LLP and its agents, representatives, employees, or any other person acting or purporting to act on behalf of Taft (including, but not limited to, Attorneys Ronald D. Holman II, Julie A. Crocker, Cary M. Snyder, William A. Doyle, and Josh M. Mandel).
- 9. "Wickens" means the law firm Wickens Herzer Panza and its agents, representatives, employees, or any other person acting or purporting to act on behalf of Wickens (including, but not limited to, Attorneys Richard D. Panza, Matthew W. Nakon, Malorie A. Alverson, Rachelle Kuznicki Zidar, Michael R. Nakon, and Wilbert V. Farrell IV).

DOCUMENT REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Any and all documents that refer or relate to evaluation of risk of loss or the settlement of this Litigation.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

All communications between you and any insurance provider, including, but not limited to, College Risk Retention Group, Lexington Insurance Company, StarStone Specialty Insurance Company, and United Educators, regarding the subject matter of this Litigation, including, but not limited to, communications that refer or relate to evaluation of risk of loss or the settlement of this Litigation.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

All communications between Taft and any insurance provider, including, but not limited to, College Risk Retention Group, Lexington Insurance Company, StarStone Specialty Insurance Company, and United Educators, regarding the subject matter of this Litigation, including, but not limited to, communications that refer or relate to evaluation of risk of loss or the settlement of this Litigation.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

All communications between Wickens and any insurance provider, including, but not limited to,
College Risk Retention Group, Lexington Insurance Company, StarStone Specialty Insurance
Company, and United Educators, regarding the subject matter of this Litigation, including, but not

limited to, communications that refer or relate to evaluation of risk of loss or the settlement of this

Litigation.

DATED: August 16, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

TZANGAS | PLAKAS | MANNOS | LTD

/s/ Brandon W. McHugh

Lee E. Plakas (0008628) Brandon W. McHugh (0096348)

Jeananne M. Ayoub (0097838)

220 Market Avenue South

Eighth Floor

Canton, Ohio 44702

Telephone:

(330) 455-6112

Facsimile:

(330) 455-2108

Email: lplakas@lawlion.com

bmchugh@lawlion.com jayoub@lawlion.com

-and-

KRUGLIAK, WILKINS, GRIFFITHS & DOUGHERTY CO., L.P.A.

Terry A. Moore (0015837)

Owen J. Ramic (0075367)

Matthew W. Onest (0087907)

4775 Munson Street, N.W.

P.O. Box 36963

Canton, Ohio 44735-6963

Telephone:

(330) 497-0700

Facsimile:

(330) 497-4020

Email: tmoore@kwgd.com

orarric@kwgd.com

monest@kwgd.com

-and-

JAMES N. TAYLOR CO., L.P.A.

James N. Taylor (0026181) 409 East Avenue, Suite A

Elyria, Ohio 44035

Telephone:

(440) 323-5700

PROOF OF SERVICE

A copy of the foregoing was served on August 16, 2019, pursuant to Civ.R. 5(B)(2)(f) by sending it by electronic means to the email addresses identified below, to:

Ronald D. Holman, II
Julie A. Crocker
Cary M. Snyder
William A. Doyle
Josh M. Mandel
Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP
200 Public Square, Suite 3500
Cleveland, OH 44114-2302
rholman@taftlaw.com;
jcrocker@taftlaw.com;
csnyder@taftlaw.com;
wdoyle@taftlaw.com;
ymandel@taftlaw.com;
Co-Counsel for Defendants
Oberlin College aka Oberlin College and

Conservatory, and Meredith Raimondo

Richard D. Panza Matthew W. Nakon Rachelle Kuznicki Zidar Malorie A. Alverson Wilbert V. Farrell, IV Michael R. Nakon Wickens, Herzer, Panza, Cook & Batista Co. 35765 Chester Road Avon, OH 44011-1262 RPanza@WickensLaw.com; MNakon@WickensLaw.com; RZidar@WickensLaw.com; MAlverson@WickensLaw.com; WFarrell@WickensLaw.com; MRNakon@WickensLaw.com; Co-Counsel for Defendants Oberlin College aka Oberlin College and Conservatory, and Meredith Raimondo

/s/ Brandon W. McHugh Brandon W. McHugh Counsel for Plaintiffs

TZANGAS PLAKAS MANNOS LTD ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

220 Market Avenue South Eighth Floor Canton, Ohio 44702 (330) 455-6112 Fax (330) 455-2108

Fax

To: Lorain Cty. Ct. of Cmn. Pleas Clerk of Courts

Fax #: (440) 328-2416

From: Brandon W. McHugh (0096348)

Date: August 19, 2019

Case: Gibson Bros., Inc., et al., v. Oberlin College, et al.

Case No.: 17CV193761

Judge: Hon. John R. Miraldi

Pages: 19 (including cover page)

Description: Supplement to Plaintiffs' Motion for Prejudgment

Interest

/s/ Brandon W. McHugh Brandon W. McHugh (0096348) Counsel for Plaintiffs

The information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible to deliver to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone, and return the original message to our office at the above address via the U.S. postal service.

