GIBSON BROS, INC., et al.,	CASE NO. 17CV193761					
Plaintiffs,	CHSE IVO. IVE VI95/01					
VS.						
OBERLIN COLLEGE aka OBERLIN COLLEGE AND CONSERVATORY, et al.,	JUDGE JOHN R. MIRALDI					
Defendants.						
JURY INTERROGATORY #1 – DAVID OBERLIN						
A. Did David R. Gibson prove by a prepolibeled him?	onderance of the evidence that Oberlin College					
Please circle one: YES or	NO					
If six (6) or more jurors answered "NO" to part verdict form that follows this Interrogatory for C						

If six (6) or more jurors answered "YES" to part (A), only those jurors shall participate in part (B) below. In order to have found Oberlin College libeled David R. Gibson, you must answer

"YES" to EITHER part (B) or part (C) but not both.

Please circle one:	YES	or	NO
		<del></del> -	
If six (6) or more ju	rors answere	d "NO" to pa	rt (B), proceed to part (C).
•		•	rt (B), proceed to part (C).
•	arors answere	ed "YES" to	part (B) – skip part (C) and sign the general verdice
If six (6) or more justiform that follows the C. Did David I	arors answere	ed "YES" to ory for David	part (B) – skip part (C) and sign the general verdice
If six (6) or more just form that follows the C. Did David I	arors answere iis Interrogate R. Gibson pro	ed "YES" to ory for David	part (B) – skip part (C) and sign the general verdic R. Gibson.
If six (6) or more just form that follows the C. Did David I with neglige	arors answerentis Interrogate  R. Gibson proence in libeling	ed "YES" to ory for David ove by clear and him?	part (B) – skip part (C) and sign the general verdic R. Gibson.  and convincing evidence that Oberlin College acte
If six (6) or more just form that follows the C. Did David I with neglige	arors answerentis Interrogate  R. Gibson proence in libeling	ed "YES" to ory for David ove by clear and him?	part (B) – skip part (C) and sign the general verdic R. Gibson.  and convincing evidence that Oberlin College acte
If six (6) or more just form that follows the C. Did David I with neglige	arors answerentis Interrogate  R. Gibson proence in libeling	ed "YES" to ory for David ove by clear and him?	part (B) – skip part (C) and sign the general verdic R. Gibson.  and convincing evidence that Oberlin College acte
If six (6) or more just form that follows the C. Did David I with neglige	arors answerentis Interrogate  R. Gibson proence in libeling	ed "YES" to ory for David ove by clear and him?	part (B) – skip part (C) and sign the general verdic R. Gibson.  and convincing evidence that Oberlin College acte
If six (6) or more just form that follows the C. Did David I with neglige	arors answerentis Interrogate  R. Gibson proence in libeling	ed "YES" to ory for David ove by clear and him?	part (B) – skip part (C) and sign the general verdic R. Gibson.  and convincing evidence that Oberlin College acte

GIBSON BROS, INC., et al., Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. 17CV193761					
vs.						
OBERLIN COLLEGE aka OBERLIN COLLEGE AND CONSERVATORY, et al.,	JUDGE JOHN R. MIRALDI					
Defendants.						
GENERAL VERDICT – LIBEL CLAIM OF DEFENDANT OBI						
A. We, the jury, do hereby find for the P College, on David R. Gibson's libel c	Plaintiff David R. Gibson, and against Oberlin laim.					
B. We, the jury, do hereby find for the IR. Gibson on David R. Gibson's libel	Defendant Oberlin College and against David claim against Oberlin College					

GIBSON BROS, INC., et al.,	CASE NO. 17CV193761					
Plaintiffs,						
VS.						
OBERLIN COLLEGE aka OBERLIN COLLEGE AND CONSERVATORY, et al.,	JUDGE JOHN R. MIRALDI					
Defendants.						
JURY INTERROGATORY #2– DAVID I MEREDITH						
A. Did David R. Gibson prove by a prepond libeled him?	derance of the evidence that Meredith Raimondo					
Please circle one: YES or	NO					

If six (6) or more jurors answered "YES" to part (A), only those jurors shall participate in part (B) or (C) below. In order to have found Meredith Raimondo libeled David R. Gibson, you must answer "YES" to EITHER part (B) or part (C) but not both.

If six (6) or more jurors answered "NO" to part (A), skip parts (B), and (C), and sign the general

verdict form that follows this Interrogatory for Meredith Raimondo.

B. Did David F acted with a		•	and convincing evidem?	ence that Mereo	dith Raimondo
Please circle one:	YES	or	NO		
If six (6) or more just	rors answere	d "NO" to pa	art (B), proceed to part	(C).	
If six (6) or more j verdict form that fol			o part (B), skip part (or David R. Gibson.	C) and then si	gn the general
C. Did David F acted with no			and convincing evide	ence that Mereo	dith Raimondo
Please circle one:	YES	or	NO		
If six (6) or more juthis Interrogatory fo			part (C), sign the gene	eral verdict for	m that follows

GIBSON BROS, INC., et al.,	CASE NO. 17CV193761					
Plaintiffs,						
VS.						
OBERLIN COLLEGE aka OBERLIN COLLEGE AND CONSERVATORY, et al.,	JUDGE JOHN R. MIRALDI					
Defendants.						
	PLAINTIFF DAVID R. GIBSON AGAINST EDITH RAIMONDO					
A. We, the jury, do hereby find for Meredith Raimondo, on David R. Gib	the Plaintiff David R. Gibson, and against oson's libel claim.					
B. We, the jury, do hereby find for the David R. Gibson on David R. Gibson	e Defendant Meredith Raimondo and against a's libel claim.					

GIBSON BROS, INC., et al.,	CASE NO. 17CV193761				
Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. 1/C v 193/01				
VS.					
OBERLIN COLLEGE aka OBERLIN COLLEGE AND CONSERVATORY, et al.,	JUDGE JOHN R. MIRALDI				
Defendants.					
A. Did David R. Gibson prove by a prepond intentionally caused him serious emotion	BERLIN COLLEGE  lerance of the evidence that Oberlin College				
A. Did David R. Gibson prove by a prepond intentionally caused him serious emotion  Please circle one: YES or					

If six (6) or more jurors answered "YES" to part (A), those jurors shall participate in part (B)

below.

lease circle one:	YES	or	NO	
		<del></del>		

B. Was Oberlin College's intentional infliction of emotional distress a direct and proximate

verdict that follows this Interrogatory for Oberlin College.

If the jurors that answered "YES" to part (A) have answered "YES" to part (B), sign the general

If the jurors that answered "YES" to part (A) have answered "YES" to part (B), sign the general verdict that follows this Interrogatory for David R. Gibson.

GIBSON BROS, INC., et al., Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. 17CV193761
VS.	
OBERLIN COLLEGE aka OBERLIN COLLEGE AND CONSERVATORY, et al.,  Defendants.	JUDGE JOHN R. MIRALDI
	SON'S INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF A AGAINST OBERLIN COLLEGE
A. We, the jury, do hereby find for the intentional infliction of emotional dis	e Plaintiff David R. Gibson, on his claim for stress against Oberlin College.
B. We, the jury, do hereby find for t Gibson's claim for intentional inflicti	the Defendant Oberlin College on David R. ion of emotional distress.

GIBSON BROS, INC., et al.,						
Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. 17CV193761					
VS.						
OBERLIN COLLEGE aka OBERLIN COLLEGE AND CONSERVATORY, et al.,	JUDGE JOHN R. MIRALDI					
Defendants.						
DAVID R. GIBSON'S INTENTIONAL IN	JURY INTERROGATORY #4 DAVID R. GIBSON'S INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS CLAIM AGAINST MEREDITH RAIMONDO					
A. Did David R. Gibson prove by a prepond intentionally caused him serious emotion	lerance of the evidence that Meredith Raimondo al distress?					
Please circle one: YES or	NO					

If six (6) or more jurors answered "YES" to part (A), those jurors shall participate in part (B)

below.

В.						al infliction R. Gibson?	of	emotional	distress	a	direct	and
Please	circle o	one:	YES	C	or	NO						

If the jurors that answered "YES" to part (A) have answered "NO" to part (B), sign the general verdict that follows this Interrogatory for Meredith Raimondo.

If the jurors that answered "YES" to part (A) have answered "YES" to part (B) sign the general verdict that follows this Interrogatory for David R. Gibson.

GIBSON BROS, INC., et al., Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. 17CV193761
VS.	
OBERLIN COLLEGE aka OBERLIN COLLEGE AND CONSERVATORY, et al.,  Defendants.	JUDGE JOHN R. MIRALDI
GENERAL VERDICT –DAVID R. GIBS EMOTIONAL DISTRESS CLAIM A	
A. We, the jury, do hereby find for the intentional infliction of emotional dist	Plaintiff David R. Gibson, on his claim for tress against Meredith Raimondo.
B. We, the jury, do hereby find for the Gibson's claim for intentional infliction	Defendant Meredith Raimondo on David R. on of emotional distress.

GIBSON BROS, INC., et al., Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. 17CV193761	
VS.		
OBERLIN COLLEGE aka OBERLIN COLLEGE AND CONSERVATORY, et al.,	JUDGE JOHN R. MIRALDI	
Defendants.		
COMPENSATORY DAMAGES FOR DAVID R. GIBSON		
Please specify the amount of damages, past and future, you are awarding to Plaintiff David R. Gibson:		
Total Compensatory Damages: \$		
Amount of total compensatory damages that represents past economic loss:		
\$		
Amount of the total compensatory damages that represents past non-economic loss:		
\$		
Amount of the total compensatory damages that represents future economic loss:  \$		
Amount of the total compensatory damages that represents future non-economic loss:		
