IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA CRIMINAL MINUTES

Phoenix Division

request to appoint a private attorney.

Argument held on Defendant's motion.

The Court addresses the arguments raised in the amici briefs.

For the reasons stated on the record, the Court finds that the pardon is valid.

<u>CR 16 / 01012 / 1 PHX SRB</u> DATE: <u>10-4-17</u>
Year Case No. Dft #
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE: <u>SUSAN R. BOLTON</u>
USA v. Arpaio, Joseph M. Last Name First Name Middle Initial DEFENDANT: X Not Present
Deputy Clerk: Maureen Williams Court Reporter Liz Lemke
U.S Attorney: John Keller, Victor Salgado, Simon Caltaldo and James Pearce
Defense counsel: <u>John Wilenchik, Mark Goldman, Jeffrey Surdakowski and Vincent Mayr</u> AFPD Appointed <u>X</u> Retained
Interpreter:_ Language:_ ====================================
PROCEEDINGS: X_Open Court SEALED
This is the time set for Hearing re: Defendant's Motion for Vacatur and Dismissal With Prejudice [Doc. 220].
IT IS ORDERED granting the Motions to File Briefs as Amici Curiae [Docs. 227, 228, 229, 233 and 238].
IT IS ORDERED granting the Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Brief of <i>Amici Curiae</i> in Support of Appointment of a Private Attorney to Prosecute Defendant's Criminal Contempt [Doc. 231] but denying the

Case 2:16-cr-01012-SRB Document 243 Filed 10/04/17 Page 2 of 2 CR 16-01012-1-PHX-SRB

CR 16-01012-1-PHX-SRB USA v. Arpaio Page 2

IT IS ORDERED that this action for criminal contempt is dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED taking under advisement whether the Court will enter any further orders.

Defense counsel Goldman asks the Court to explain why it granted the Motions for Leave to File Amici Curiae Briefs and whether the Court will consider sanctions against the individuals who filed the amicus briefs and states that Defendant should be entitled to attorneys' fees incurred in responding to the briefs. The Court stated that such a request would be considered if a motion were filed.